(42)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. No. 163 of 2002

Petitioners	1.	Smt. Ritu Sao, W/o Basant Madhav Sao, Aged about 26 years, By caste Teli, R/o Shriram Colony, Raigarh (C.G.).
	2.	Ku. Poonam Pandey, D/o Shri Murlidhar Pandey, Aged about 27 years, R/o Rajapara, Baghtalab, Raigarh (C.G.).
	3.	Santosh Kumar Mishra, S/o Vishwanath Prasad Mishra, Aged about 31 years, R/o Malidiba, Boirdadar, Raigarh (C.G.).
	4.	Sanjay Satpathi, S/o Gautam Prasad Satpathi, Aged about 29 years, R/o Banglapara, Chakradhar Nagar, Raigarh (C.G.)
	5.	Dilip Kumar Patel, S/o Dhowa Patel, Aged about 23 years, R/o Panchdhar Saria, Raigarh (C.G.)
	6.	Ramji Shukla, S/o Chandrashekar Prasad Shukla, Aged about 24 years, R/o Malidiba, Boirdadar, Raigarh (C.G.)
	7.	Ku. Sarita Yadav, D/o T.R.Yadav, Aged about 25 years, R/o Chakradhar Nagar, Raigarh (C.G.).
	8.	Ku. Anita Mitra, D/o Sukhbhog Mitra, R/o Housing Board Colony, Raigarh (C.G.), Aged about 26 yrs.
	9.	Ku. Vijaya Shriwas, D/o D.P.Shriwas, Aged about 24 years, R/o Housing Board Colony, Raigarh (C.G.).
	10.	Damroodhar Wareth, S/o Boondram Wareth, Aged about 23 years, R/o Malidiba, Boirdadar, Raigarh (C.G.)
	11.	Deepak Singh Chouhan, S/o Prakash Chouhan, Aged about 24 years, R/o Malidiba, Boirdadar, Raigarh (C.G.)
	12.	Ashok Kumar Vastrakar, S/o Pannalal Vastrakar, Aged about 25 years, R/o Malidiba, Boirdadar, Raigarh (C.G.)
	Versus-	
Respondents	1.	State of Chhattisgarh, Through : Secretary, Department of Commerce & Industries, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G.)
	2.	The Registrar, Firms & Societies, Raipur, Dist. Raipur (C.G.)

UB

3. Assistant Registrar, Firms & Societies, Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)
4. District Education Office, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.).
5. Pragya Shikshan Samiti, Boirdadar, Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)
6. The Principal, Pragya Shikshan Samiti, Boirdadar, Raigarh (C.G.)
7. Tehsildar, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
8. Sub Divisional Officer, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/ 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**

Shri Sunil Sahu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 1 to 4, 7 and 8.

ORDER

(Passed on this 25th day of June, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, are working on the post of Teacher in Pragya Shikshan Samiti, Boirdadar, Raigarh. By the order dated 31.12.2001 passed by the Principal Secretary, State of Chhattisgarh, Commerce and Industries Department, the old teachers were permitted to work in the Pragya Shikshan Samiti.
2. It appears that a complaint was made to the Sub Divisional Officer, Raigarh that on account of the order dated 31.12.2001 there was likelihood of the law and order problem. In response thereof, the Sub Divisional Officer vide order dated 1.1.2002 (Annexure P/1) directed for maintaining the law and order in the institution.

(44)

3. The petitioners have not impugned the order dated 31.12.2001 and as such the same has attained finality.
4. In the present petition the order dated 1.1.2002 (Annexure P/1) has been impugned by the petitioner, whereby the Tahsildar, Raigarh and Station House Officer, Police Station, Chakradhar Nagar, Raigarh were directed by the Sub Divisional Officer, Raigarh, to remain present in the Pragya Shikshan Samiti on 2.1.2002 to maintain the law and order.
5. I failed to understand how the petitioners are aggrieved if the law and order is maintained in the institution. There should not be any grievance, if the school is properly run and law and order is maintained.
6. In view of the foregoing, there is no merit in this petition, which deserves to be and is dismissed accordingly. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur